

future use, but yet always in such condition as to answer the question "What mean these stones?" The Dean's speech was very eloquent, and was rather set off by a double *entendre*, when in the course of his argument he proceeded to say "I remember in the 12th century, &c.," a feat of memory, which made it curious that we were only celebrating our first Tercentenary in the 19th. So powerful was the effect of the speech that the body of demolitionists declined to bring forward their resolution, and consequently the whole tone of the meeting seemed in favour of an enlargement of the present Chapel. This however, we believe, was only on the surface, as we are informed Sir J. Fergusson is going to press for a new Chapel altogether. If so, the sentiment attaching to the old Chapel will not be the only argument in favour of it, but Old Rugbeians must remember that we are not rich as a body, and that in sacrificing the old Chapel we begin with making a deficit of several thousands of pounds. The third resolution appointed the committee, and was proposed by Dr. Collis, who, after a strong encomium passed on the Chairman, alluded to the fact that Rugby was, as it were, the mother of schools, having trained more headmasters and masters than any other school, and consequently argued that she ought to take the lead in educational reform. Mr. Whitbread, in a sensible speech, hoped that the committee would enlarge itself so as not only to be ornamental but useful. Among the other speakers were Mr. T. Hughes, who enlarged on the pressing need of Scholarships, in order that Rugby may compete with the open foundations of Eton and Winchester; Mr. Walker, M.P., who represented the feelings which many Old Rugbeians have for the present Chapel, as the resting place of friends and relations; and Mr. Caldecott, without whose kindly remarks no Rugby meeting would be complete. It was on the latter's suggestion that it was finally determined to have a Tercentenary dinner on the 26th of next month, in the Town Hall. Great applause was elicited in the course of the Dean's speech by the arrival of the Bishop of London, who came in, looking very tired, but still anxious to show his sympathy, if only for a few minutes. The meeting closed with a cordial vote of thanks to the Chairman, who, in responding, took occasion to state that the £3,000 already in hand for the Chapel fund was, to a great extent, a contribution from the Headmaster,

who gives up his chaplain's salary to that purpose.

In considering the numbers present we must recollect that Oxford and Cambridge, having met some time since, were quite unrepresented.

We subjoin the resolutions:—

1. "That it is desirable to commemorate the Tercentenary of the foundation of Rugby School in one or more of the following ways: by founding scholarships, by building additional schoolrooms, by rebuilding or enlarging the chapel, by establishing a gymnasium, by establishing a boys' library and reading-room."
2. "That in the application of such funds as may be subscribed for this purpose the wishes of the several contributors should be consulted as much as possible; but that in the opinion of this meeting the object to be first aimed at is the enlargement of the chapel."
3. "That a committee be appointed to carry out the above resolutions, consisting of the following gentlemen, with power to add to their number: The Bishop of Rochester, Lord Stanley, Lord Westmeath, Mr. E. Horsman, M.P.; Mr. Goschen, M.P.; the Warden of All Souls, the Dean of Westminster, Rev. Chancellor Massingbred, Sir J. Fergusson, Bart., M.P.; Mr. C. M. Caldecott, Mr. G. G. Glyn, M.P.; Mr. T. Hughes, M.P.; Mr. A. Mills, Mr. Arnold, the Rev. Dr. Temple, the Rev. C. T. Arnold, and the Rev. T. W. Jex Blake."

CRICKET.

The Corpus Christi College Eleven came down on Saturday, May 11th, aided by the services of G. D. Baker, who took the 1st five of the School wickets. Our opponents went in first, and were all dismissed for 71 by dinner-time. Afterwards the School commenced their innings, which closed about six o'clock for 108,—37 in advance. Pancefote, Stokes, and Tobin ma. showed some good batting. The following is the score:—

CORPUS COLLEGE.

1st Innings.

W. E. Goschen, b Ellis	13
A. G. Hastings, c Stokes, b Bourne	7
C. J. Hegan, not out	18
G. D. Baker, b Bourne	14
T. P. Montington, c Gemmell, b Bourne	0
C. T. Digby, c Pancefote, b Ellis	0
C. L. Tupper, c Pancefote, b Ellis	3
E. J. Wyndham, c and b Bourne	2
A. G. Symonds, b Ellis	0
W. L. Selve, b Ellis	0
C. S. Oakley, c Gemmell, b Ellis	11
Bye 1, w 2	3

Total 71

RUGBY SCHOOL.

1st Innings.

B. Pancefote, c Selve, b Baker	28
F. Stokes, b Baker	14
S. P. Bucknill, b Baker	7
J. W. Gardner, b Baker	7
F. Tobin ma., c Selve, b Baker	0
J. Wilkes, b Oakley	4
V. Ellis, b Baker	0
F. Tobin ma., b Oakley	25
T. Gemmell, c Hastings, b Hegan	1
A. Gray, b Oakley	1
A. A. Bourne, not out	7
Bye 1, 1-b 5, w 8	18

Total 108

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, OXFORD, v. RUGBY SCHOOL.—(A correspondent has kindly forwarded us the following.)—This annual Match was played on Saturday last, and decided in favour of University College on the first innings, by 27 runs. For the last three years there has always been a draw, the party going in first monopolising the time by enormous scores. This year, however, the score of either side is not so great, hence the finished match. University College (under the captaincy of R. G. Venables) won the toss, and naturally elected to go in first. At 12.0 or thereabouts the first ball was delivered,—Ellis and Bourne commencing to hurl the leather at Lainson and Lipscomb (Marlborough Eleven, 1865); the latter, after scoring 13 notches, among which were one 4, one 3, and two 2's, fell a victim to a shooter from Ellis. First wicket for 23. Wilmot followed, but soon lost the partnership of Lainson, who was caught at long-stop after a steady innings of 11. Kennaway next appeared on the scene, and right well did he play, and in such good form. Wilmot, after hitting a 6, retired, well caught by Stokes at third man. Three for 50. Hill, after scoring 4, was handled by the Captain. Venables in own style knocked up 16, and then was had in the slips. Gilliat (Eton Eleven, 1866) and Kennaway managed to keep together till the latter was bowled by a trimmer from Pauncefote, who had gone on at Bourne's end. Gilliat, who was playing very well, was unfortunately run out, after a careful innings of 15. Davenport quickly rattled up his 43, among which were one 5, two 4's, three 3's, six 2's, and the rest singles; we think his discretion in running out was good for the School, not so for the other party. The last three did not accrue many runs, Hedley being badly run out. Total 144. Ellis bowled very well, and the Captain was all there in the slips. The long-stopping tells its own tale, not one bye increasing the score, which shows how well Bucknill long-stopped. The wickets fell thus: 1 for 23, 2 for 39, 3 for 50, 4 for 55, 5 for 76, 6 for 83, 7 for 128, 8, 9 and 10 for 144.

After dinner the School went in, represented by Pauncefote and Stokes, to the bowling of Lipscomb and Venables. The latter did not bowl in his old form, whereas the former proved very destructive. Stokes played very carefully, and hit a 6 (lost ball among the nettles) to leg off Venables. Pauncefote showed his power of playing steady, and thereby set an example to his

team which they would wisely follow, as we thought one or two of them played rather at random and for "gallery" hits. When the score was at a score Stokes was bowled by a "tice" (*our block pitch*). Yardley hit a good 5 to leg, but was soon bowled by Gilliat, who had taken Venables' post. The Captain was unfortunately bowled off his body (*Happy Thought—Have no body!*): his 20 was well got,—a forward drive to the off for 5 off Venables, one 4, one 3. Bucknill fell victim to a "tice" from Gilliat. Wickets fell fast till the "Cos" Tobins got in: Captain (R. S. R. C.) first retired, showing good form for his 9; "t'other one" stayed in till last after scoring 35; he was badly missed at long-on, but barring that chance his innings was a good one. Bourne drove one hard for 4 off Gilliat, and carried his bat out for 6. The wickets fell thus: 1 for 20, 2 for 44, 3 for 48, 4 for 49, 5 for 53, 6 for 69, 7 for 76, 8 for 103, 9 for 103, and 10 for 117.—We should like to append one or two remarks. Pauncefote batted in his old form, and what that is, every one knows or ought to know. Stokes, Tobin (2), and Ellis (though he only got 3), appeared to us to show the next best idea of batting. The rest want practice and steadiness, and should remember the advice given in the *Cricket Field*,—"always begin an innings carefully." The School fielding was good, and the bowling on the spot. We hear that the L. & N. W. R. refused to stop the train back at Bletchley, "as being contrary to regulations." This we think hard lines, as they stopped it the Saturday before for C.C.C. We are, however, glad to hear that the "foreign" eleven caught their train all right at Leamington. We cannot imagine what has come over the L. & N. W., as they are always so ready to oblige any one, and have ever listened to an appeal to stop the train at Bletchley on the journey back. We hope they will do so for the future, else we fear the College will not risk catching the train at Leamington. Score:—

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE.

1st Innings.

J. A. Lainson, c Bucknill, b Ellis	11
W. H. Lipscomb, b Ellis	13
A. A. Wilmot, c Stokes, b Ellis	21
C. L. Kennaway, b Pauncefote	15
B. H. Hill, c Pauncefote, b Bourne	3
R. G. Venables, c Pauncefote, b Ellis	16
H. Gilliat, run out	15
F. W. Davenport, c Pauncefote, b Stokes	43
W. Hedley, run out	4
C. F. Fellowes, not out	0
H. G. Nind, b Stokes	0
Leg-bye 1, w 2	3

Total 144

RUGBY SCHOOL.

1st Innings.

B. Pauncefote, b Lipscomb.....	20
F. Stokes, b Lipscomb.....	11
W. Yardley, b Gilliat.....	13
S. P. Bucknill, b Gilliat.....	4
J. W. Gardner, b Lipscomb.....	1
F. Tobin mi., c Gilliat, b Lipscomb.....	9
J. Wilkes, c Davenport, b Kennaway.....	6
F. Tobin ma., c Kennaway, b Venables.....	35
V. Ellis, b Gilliat.....	3
T. Gemmell, b Gilliat.....	0
A. A. Bourne, not out.....	6
Byes 4, 1-b 4, w 2.....	10

Total..... 117

SCHOOL-HOUSE.—The House Pie Match was begun on Saturday, May 11th, sides being chosen by Soutter and Gwatkin. Soutter's side won by 82 runs. Score:—

SOUTTER'S SIDE.

1st Innings.	2nd Innings.
Field ma., b Gwatkin.....	0 c Pearson, b Gwatkin..... 2
Moberly, b Gwatkin.....	14 b Warner..... 23
Soutter, not out.....	52 run out..... 29
Davenport, b Warner.....	0 b Warner..... 0
Thorneycroft, b Warner.....	0 run out..... 0
Lushington mi., run out.....	4 b Gwatkin..... 0
Ingram, b Warner.....	0 b Gwatkin..... 1
Paine ma., b Warner.....	0 b Gwatkin..... 2
Remington, c Sidgwick mi., b Warner.....	6 b Warner..... 7
Sidgwick ma., b Warner.....	6 b Warner..... 1
Shirley, b Warner.....	0 not out..... 1
Byes 8, 1-b 1, w 28, n-b 7.....	39 Byes, &c..... 24

Totals..... 121..... 90

GWATKIN'S SIDE.

1st Innings.	2nd Innings.
Oldham, c Pearson, b Moberly..	1 c Remington, b Soutter..... 4
Pearson, b Moberly.....	5 b Moberly..... 0
Warner, c Shirley, b Remington.....	2 c & b Soutter..... 5
Gwatkin, b Remington.....	3 run out..... 6
Sidgwick mi., b Remington.....	0 b Soutter..... 0
Hudson, b Moberly.....	13 c Field, b Remington..... 0
Lushington ma., b Moberly.....	17 cThorneycroft b Lushington mi. 50
Westfeldt, b Moberly.....	0 b Moberly..... 1
Gooding, b Moberly.....	0 not out..... 3
Field mi., not out.....	0 b Lushington mi.,..... 4
Masterman, c Moberly, b Lushington.....	1 Lushington mi.,..... 0
Byes 2, w 8.....	10 Byes 1, w 3..... 4

Totals..... 52..... 77

In the Little Pie Match Cholmondeley's side beat Fowler's by 50 runs.

The new members of the XXII. are Field, Clark, Hone, Warner, and Fitzgerald mi.

W. E. Göschen, who was in last year's XI., p'ayed on Saturday last for Oxford against 16 of Christ Church.

SCHOOL RACQUETS.—The Single Racquet has been won by Wilkes, and the Double by Wilkes and Yardley (both in Rev. C. B. Hutchinson's.)

CORRESPONDENCE.

We cannot be answerable for the opinions of our correspondents.

Contributions for the next number should be sent in by this day fortnight, written on *one* side of the paper only.

Contributions will be received at the *Advertiser* Office, or at Mr. Pepperday's, under cover to the "Editor of the *Meteor*."

To the Editor of the *Meteor*.

SIR,—A "Radical," by his sweeping measures of Reform, has incurred so much opposition, and so many arguments have been brought against his propositions to show that they are impracticable, that I am sure, if he is an ordinary mortal, he must have succumbed to the numerous attacks. Now I, for one, think there is a great deal of truth in "Radical's" statements, and that many of his proposals could be adopted with advantage. I think that he is quite right in his assertion that a body which nominally,—for it does at present only nominally,—represents the Athletic portion of the School, should be elected from the muscles, and not from the brains of the School. I do not mean to say that the Upper School is solely intellectual, for it so happens that it *now* contains some of the best players at games. Yet, some day it may be otherwise, and one should be prepared for all contingencies. While "Radical's" franchise would *always* include the best players. Let us see what arguments are brought to bear against "Radical's" proposal for Big-side Levée to consist of House Twenties, House Elevens, Crick and Athletic Winners, and the Commissioned Officers of the Rifle Corps. One correspondent objects to it on account of its size, and computes the number in the following manner: House Twenties 180, House Elevens 88, Crick and Athletic Winners (say) 20, Officers 3; Grand Total, 291. Now this correspondent ignores the fact that the majority of House Elevens are in their House Twenties; that the 3 Officers of the Corps are in their respective House Twenties; and that a good number of winners are either in their House Eleven or Twenty. The size would be very nearly the same as at present. Another correspondent does not deny the incompetency, if I may use the word, of the present Levée, but indicates it by a precedent furnished by the House of Commons. He illogically argues "The House passes bills, &c., of which they know nothing: wherefore, why should not Big-side Levée go and do likewise."